



College of Business

Standard 2: The school produces high-quality intellectual contributions that are consistent with its mission, expected outcomes, and strategies and that impact the theory, practice, and teaching of business and management. [INTELLECTUAL CONTRIBUTIONS, IMPACT, AND ALIGNMENT WITH MISSION]

Definitions

- Intellectual contributions are original works intended to advance the theory, practice, and/or teaching of business and management. They are scholarly in the sense that they are based on generally accepted research principles, are validated by peers and disseminated to appropriate audiences. Intellectual contributions are a foundation for innovation. Validation of the quality of intellectual contributions includes the traditional academic or professional pre-publication peer review, but may encompass other forms of validation, such as online post-publication peer reviews, ratings, surveys of users, etc. Intellectual contributions may fall into any of the following categories:
 - Basic or discovery scholarship (often referred to as discipline-based scholarship) that
 generates and communicates new knowledge and understanding and/or development of
 new methods. Intellectual contributions in this category are normally intended to impact
 the theory or knowledge of business.
 - Applied or Integration/application scholarship that synthesizes new understandings or interpretations of knowledge or technology; develops new technologies, processes, tools, or uses; and/or refines, develops, or advances new methods based on existing knowledge. Intellectual contributions in this category are normally intended to contribute to and impact the practice of business.
 - Teaching and learning scholarship that develops and advances new understandings, insights, and teaching content and methods that impact learning behavior. Intellectual contributions in this category are normally intended to impact the teaching and/or pedagogy of business.
- Impact of intellectual contributions is the advancement of theory, practice, and/or teaching of business through intellectual contributions. Impact is concerned with the difference made or innovations fostered by intellectual contributions—e.g., what has been changed, accomplished, or improved.

Basis for Judgment

- The school has produced intellectual contributions that have had an impact on the theory, practice, and/or teaching of business consistent with the mission, expected outcomes, and strategies of the school.
- The school expresses expectations regarding the impact of intellectual contributions in the
 mission in ways that clearly articulate the contributions to society and are transparent to the
 public.
- The school applies relevant metrics to assess the extent to which expected impacts from intellectual contributions have been achieved and are aligned with mission.
- The school maintains a current portfolio of high quality intellectual contributions that could
 impact theory, practice, and/or teaching in the future. The portfolio of intellectual
 contributions includes contributions from a substantial cross-section of the faculty in each
 discipline. Normally, a significant level of the contributions in the portfolio must be in the form
 of peer-reviewed journal articles or the equivalent. The portfolio of intellectual contributions
 reflects the research priorities of the school reflected in the mission, expected outcomes,
 and strategies.
- The school supports the depth and breadth of faculty participation in scholarship leading to high-quality intellectual contributions that could impact theory, practice, and/or teaching in the future. If outcomes rely heavily on the intellectual contributions of faculty members who have primary faculty appointments with other institutions, the school must provide documentation regarding how its relationship with the individual faculty members and other institutions supports the success, mission, and intellectual contributions of the school. The school documents intellectual contributions that demonstrate high quality and impact, as well as alignment with mission, expected outcomes, and strategies. In documenting quality, the school produces evidence of high-quality intellectual contributions within the most recent five-year AACSB accreditation review period. In documenting impact, however, the school may produce evidence from intellectual contributions produced prior to the most recent five-year AACSB accreditation review period. The review process recognizes that impact often occurs over time.

Guidance for Documentation

- Provide a portfolio of evidence including qualitative and quantitative measures that summarize the portfolio of intellectual contributions over the most recent five-year review period, ending with the most recently completed, normal academic year. Normally, the intellectual contributions underlying this table are for the same faculty reported in Table 15-1. Disclose in a footnote to the table, any changes in faculty subsequent to the most recent academic year that would materially impact the results reported herein.
- This evidence can be enhanced by including validating evidence of the accomplishments of such work. At a minimum, the portfolio of evidence should include: (1) A listing of the outlets (journals, research monographs, published cases, funded and competitive research grants, scholarly presentations, invited presentations, published textbooks, other teaching materials, etc.); (2) an analysis of the breadth of faculty engagement and production of intellectual contributions within each discipline; (3) awards, recognition, editorships, and other forms of validation of the accomplishments of faculty through their intellectual contributions; and (4) the ways in which the school's intellectual contributions impact external stakeholders, and the broader society.
- Table 2-1 is divided into four parts. Part A provides a five-year aggregate summary of
 intellectual contributions. Part B provides a qualitative description of how the portfolio of
 intellectual contributions aligns with mission, expected outcomes, and strategy. Part C
 provides evidence demonstrating the quality of the portfolio of intellectual contributions. Part

D provides evidence that the school's intellectual contributions have had an impact on the theory, practice, and/or teaching of business and management. Table 2-1 allows schools flexibility to develop their own indicators of quality for the portfolio of intellectual contributions.

- The validation of the accomplishments/impact of intellectual contribution outcomes may be reflected in:
 - Peer recognition of the originality, scope, and/or significance of intellectual contributions.
 - Editorial board recognition of the originality, scope, and/or significance of the work.
 - The applicability and benefits of the new knowledge to the theory, practice, and/or teaching of business.
 - Evidence of the influence of the intellectual contribution on professional practice, professional standards, legislative processes, and outcomes or public policy.
 - The usefulness and/or originality of new or different understandings, applications, and insights resulting from the creative work.
 - The breadth, value, and persistence of the use and impact of the creative work.
 - The originality and significance of the creative work to learning, including the depth and duration of usefulness.
 - Research awards and recognition (e.g., selection as a fellow of an academic society).
 - Adoptions and citations of the creative work, including its impact on the creative and intellectual work of others.
 - Evidence in the work of leadership and team-based contributions to the advancement of knowledge.
 - Alignment of the work with mission, expected outcomes, and strategies.

The above is not an exhaustive list of how a school can present or measure the possible impacts of its intellectual contribution portfolio. As a school documents its portfolio of intellectual contribution outcomes, the key is to provide the peer review team with the means to make an initial assessment of the portfolio's alignment with mission and draw broader conclusions about its impact on teaching and practice (refer to Appendix I). The validation documentation is an important part of the process because it serves to illustrate the depth and breadth of faculty participation in the production of intellectual contributions (i.e., to show a substantial cross-section of activity in each disciplinary context and the level of peer review journal outcomes). Finally, the spirit and intent of this standard applies to both intellectual contributions grounded solely in a single disciplinary area and interdisciplinary contributions. Interdisciplinary intellectual contributions will be judged in the same context as contributions in a single disciplinary area and are in no way discounted in the context of this standard; however, interdisciplinary outcomes should be aligned with mission, expected outcomes, and strategies of the business school.

Provide a summary of impact indicators resulting from the intellectual contributions produced
by the faculty of the school. See Appendix I for a non-exhaustive list of possible impact
indicators, including publications in highly recognized peer-review journals, citation counts,
editorship and associate editorships, elections to leadership positions in academic and/or
professional associations, external recognitions for research quality and impact, evidence of
impact of intellectual contributions on business practice and society, invitations to participate
in research conferences, use of academic work in doctoral seminars, awards of competitive
grants from major national or international agencies, patent awards, appointments as visiting
professors or scholars at other institutions, case studies of research that leads to the

adoption of new teaching/learning practices, textbooks that are widely adopted, research-based learning projects with companies, and/or non-profit organizations, and widely used instructional software.

- Provide an analysis of how the portfolio includes intellectual contributions from a substantial cross-section of faculty in each discipline, as well as a significant amount of peer-reviewed journal work or the equivalent.
- The school adopts and shows evidence of appropriate policies to guide faculty members in
 the production of intellectual contributions that align with the mission, expected outcomes,
 and strategies. Such policies should guide faculty as to how the school prioritizes different
 types of scholarship, determines quality, and validates or assesses outcomes as positive
 contributions to the advancement of business theory, practice, and learning. Interdisciplinary
 outcomes may be presented in a separate category, but the disciplines involved should be
 identified.
- The number of publications must reflect an unduplicated count for co-authored publications.
- Faculty vitae supporting Table 2-1 should be available upon request by the peer review team.
- Table 2-1 does not require a breakdown by level of faculty (e.g., assistant professor, associate professor, etc.).

					Table	2-1 Inte	llectual	Contribu	itions							
Part A: Five	e-Year S	ummary	of Inte	llectual	Contr	butions		•								
	Portfolio of Intellectual Contributions				Types of Intellectual Contributions										Percentages of Faculty Producing ICs	
Faculty Aggregate and summarize data to reflect the organizational structure of the school's faculty (e.g., departments, research groups). Do not list by individual faculty member.	Basic or Discovery Scholarship	Applied or Integration/Application Scholarship	Teaching and Learning Scholarship	Total*	Peer-Reviewed Journals	Editorial-Reviewed Journals and Articles	Peer-Reviewed Academic/Professional Meeting Proceedings	Academic/Professional Meeting Presentations	Competitive Research Awards Received	Textbooks	Case Studies	Professional practice standards, or public policy	Other IC Type Selected by the School	Total*	Percent of Participating Faculty Producing ICs	Percentage of total Full Time Equivalent (FTE) faculty producing ICs
Department 1																
Department 2															<u> </u>	
Department 3																

Part B: Alignment with Mission, Expected Outcomes, and Strategy

Provide a qualitative description of how the portfolio of intellectual contributions is aligned with the mission, expected outcomes, and strategy of the school.

Part C: Quality of Five-Year Portfolio of Intellectual Contributions

Provide evidence demonstrating the quality of the above five-year portfolio of intellectual contributions. Schools are encouraged to include qualitative descriptions and quantitative metrics and to summarize information in tabular format whenever possible.

Part D: Impact of Intellectual Contributions

Grand Total

Provide evidence demonstrating that the school's intellectual contributions have had an impact on the theory, practice, and/or teaching of business and management. The school is encouraged to include qualitative descriptions and quantitative metrics and to summarize the information in tabular format whenever possible to demonstrate impact. Evidence of impact may stem from intellectual contributions produced beyond the five-year AACSB accreditation review period. Examples can be found in Appendix I.

^{*}The sum of the Portfolio of Intellectual Contributions columns should equal the Types of Intellectual Contributions columns.

Table 2-2: Five-Year Summary of Peer- and Editorial-Reviewed Journals and Number of Publications in Each

Based on the data in Table 2-1, provide a five-year summary of peer- and editorial-reviewed journals (by name) and the number or publications appearing in each. The number of publications must reflect an unduplicated count for co-authored publications.

Please organize by organizational structure of the school's faculty (e.g., departments, research groups) in the same manner as Table 2-1. Please split fractionally for co-authorship among faculty employed by the school such that each publication is counted only once.

Peer- and Editorial-Reviewed Journals (by Organizational Structure)	Number of Publications
Grand Total	